Library Investments in Scholarly Communication Resources

Page description:
This survey, developed in the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation-funded “Mapping the Scholarly Communication Infrastructure” project (Middlebury, 2018-2019), assesses library investments in Scholarly Communications Resources (SCRs) - tools, services, and systems. It is intended to provide a baseline and broad view of where academic libraries financially invest in scholarly communications infrastructures today.

As an incentive and thank you to our participants, each respondent will receive a results dashboard for their own entry, as well as benchmarking against the aggregate baseline data. We anticipate that this information will help to inform our extended scholarly communications system about where and how funding currently circulates and what it supports.

We expect this survey to take between 30 minutes to an hour to complete, including the time spent researching and/or asking questions of your staff members. You will be able to start, stop, and resume your response to the survey at will, and you can access a PDF of the full instrument to help you coordinate answers with your staff members.

The major focus of this survey is to collect information on funding and other contributions that you make to specific SCRs. It would be good for you to gather this information before the survey.

**INSERT LINK TO PDF**

-Data Privacy-
Individual and aggregate data and documents shared by respondents will only be used for the following purposes and under the following circumstances.

1. Analysis by the “Mapping the Scholarly Infrastructure” (https://scholarlycommons.net/map-plan/) project team.

2. Delivery to the respondent of their own scholarly communication tools/resources/platform investments data output (including a dashboard view of that data)

3. Aggregated data will be analyzed, reported on, and offered as an open, anonymized dataset for reuse (no individual institution’s data will be identifiable)

4. Names of all responding institutions will be collated and included with the dataset; individual respondent names will not be shared

As per our data policies, we will not share your responses with any other group without your explicit, written permission

This survey instrument was developed by Katherine Skinner, Melanie Schlosser, Nathan Brown, Mike Roy, David Lewis, and Brianna Morrow.

1. I understand the foregoing and agree to participate in this survey. *
   - Yes
   - No

2. Respondent Name
3. Respondent Title

4. Respondent Email

5. Institution Name

6. Institution City

7. Institution State/Province (Please answer with state abbreviation - e.g. CA for California, BC for British Columbia)

8. Institution Country

9. Undergraduate Enrollment (Please answer with whole numbers only)
10. Graduate Enrollment (Please answer with whole numbers only)

11. Other Advanced Degree Enrollment (Please answer with whole numbers only)

12. Carnegie BASIC Classification
(https://carnegieclassifications.iu.edu/lookup/lookup.php)

13. Total 2018 Library Budget (excluding Salaries)

14. Total 2018 Collections Budget
15. Below, we provide a list that contains many of the scholarly communication resources (tools, services, platforms) currently in use by academic libraries. Please check the box next to each resource that your organization currently invests in. Select all that apply. *

- 1Science-1Findr
- 1Science-1Foldr Data
- 1Science-OAfigr
- 1Science-OAFindr
- 1Science-OAFoldr
- AAU/ARL/AAUP-Open Access Monograph Publishing Initiative
- Academic Preservation Trust
- Access to Memory
- ANVC-Scalar
- arcasearch
- Arch
- Artifactual-Archivematica
- Atypon
- Authorea
- Avalon
- Blacklight
- CARL-Portage
- Center for Open Science
- Center for Open Science-OSF Meeting
- Center for Open Science-Open Science Framework
- Center for Open Science-OSF Preprints
- CERN Spinoff-TIND Institutional Repository
- CERN Spinoff-TIND Research Data Management
- Chan Zuckerberg Initiative-Meta
- CLOCKSS
- COAR
- Collaborative Knowledge Foundation-Editoria
- Collaborative Knowledge Foundation-INK
- Collaborative Knowledge Foundation-Libero
- Collaborative Knowledge Foundation-PubSweet
- Collaborative Knowledge Foundation-xPub
- Common Workflow Language Project
- Converis
- Creative Commons
- CRL-Samp Open Archives Initiative
- CRL-TRAIL
- Crossref
- CUNY-Commons in a Box
- Data2paper
- DataCite Search
- Digital Commonwealth
- Digital Preservation Network
- Digital Public Library of America
- Digital Science-Altmetric
- Dimensions
- Directory of Open Access Journals
- Docker
- DOI Fabrica
- Dryad
DuraSpace-DSpace
DuraSpace-Fedora
DuraSpace-Samvera
DuraSpace-Vivo
EBSCO-Folio
Educopia-BitCurator
Educopia-MetaArchive Cooperative
Elsevier-BePress
Elsevier-Mendeley
Elsevier-PlumAnalytics
Emulation as a Service (Yale)
EndNote
ePrints
Erudit
eScholarship
EZID DOI Service
F1000 Research Ltd-Open Research Platform
F1000-https://f1000.com/prime
F1000-https://f1000research.com/
F1000-https://f1000workspace.com/
Figshare
Frontiers-Scholar Networking Tool
GitHub
Google-Google Scholar
handle.net
Hathi Trust
Hindawi
Hyku
Hypothes.is
Hyrax
IIIF Consortium
Impactstory-Unpaywall
Indiana University Bloomington and Northwestern University-Avalon
Internet Archive-Archivelt
Internet Archive-Wayback Machine
Islandora
ITHAKA-Portico
JISC-Publications Router
Johns Hopkins, Harvard, MIT-Public Access Submission System (PASS)
Jupyter Notebooks
LARRP
LIBER
Linkedin-Slideshare
Literatum
LLMC Digital
LYRASIS-ArchivesSpace
Make Data Count
Manifold Scholarship
MDPI-JAMS (Journal & Article Management System)
MDPI-Pre-prints server
MDPI-SciForum
MDPI-SciLit
Minnesota Libraries Publishing Project-Pressbooks, OA
Morressier
OCLC-CONTENTdm
OCLC-WorldCat
OCUL-ScholarsPortal
Omeka
Open Access Button
Open Access Directory
Open Citations
Open DOI
Open Humans
Open Knowledge Maps
Open Library of Humanities-Janeway
OpenAIRE - Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe
OpenCitations
OpenEdition Freemium
ORCID
OSF Preprints
PKP-LOCKSS for OJS
PKP-Open Conference Systems
PKP-Open Harvester Systems
PREreview
Preservica
Pressbooks
Public Knowledge Project-Open Journal System
Public Knowledge Project-Open Monograph Press
16. You have indicated that you fund the following scholarly communication tools, platforms, and services. Please indicate the amount of annual funding for each resource below. (Please enter numbers only, e.g. 50000 = $50,000)

17. We would like to know about your institution's investments. Roughly how much do you invest financially (including sunk costs) each year in each of these tools, platforms, and services in the following categories? (Please enter numbers only, e.g. 50% would be 50)

17. We would like to know about your anticipated future investments in these tools, platforms, and services. Are you likely to increase, decrease, or maintain your current investment level for each?
17. What do you most want to know about the investments you are making today in scholarly communication resources? Select all that apply.

- [ ] How my investments change over time
- [ ] How my institution’s investments compare to those of my self-designated peer institutions
- [ ] How my institution’s investments compare to the full range of academic library institutional investments
- [ ] What percent of my investments are in “open” vs “proprietary” tools, platforms, and services
- [ ] What percent of the academic library field’s investments are in “open” vs “proprietary” tools, platforms, and services
- [ ] Other - specify

18. How long did it take you to complete this survey?

- [ ] 0-30 mins
- [ ] 30-60 mins
- [ ] 60-90 mins
- [ ] 90-120 mins
- [ ] 120+ mins

19. Please provide us with feedback on this survey.

